KÄHLER GROUPS FROM MAPS ONTO HIGHER DIMENSIONAL TORI

CLAUDIO LLOSA ISENRICH

Abstract. We present a construction that produces infinite classes of Kähler groups that arise as fundamental groups of fibres of maps to higher dimensional tori. Following the work of Delzant and Gromov, there is great interest in knowing which subgroups of direct products of surface groups are Kähler. We apply our construction to obtain a class of irreducible, coabelian Kähler subgroups of direct products of surface groups. These have exotic finiteness properties: For any $r \geq 2$ our class of subgroups contains Kähler groups that have a classifying space with finite r-skeleton while not having a classifying space with finitely many s-cells for some $s > r$; the gap between s and r begs intriguing questions.

arXiv:1701.01163v2 [math.GT] 3 Apr 2017

1. Introduction

A *Kähler group* is a group that can be realised as the fundamental group of a closed Kähler manifold. The question of which finitely presented groups are Kähler was first raised by Serre in the 1950s and has driven a field of very active research since. While numerous strong constraints have been proved and examples of Kähler groups with a variety of different properties have been constructed, the question remains wide open. For a general background on Kähler groups see [1], for a more recent overview see [8].

While a general answer seems out of reach for the moment, it is fruitful to consider Serre's question in the context of more specific classes of groups. For instance, it has been shown that if the fundamental group of a compact 3-manifold without boundary is Kähler then it is finite [12] (see also [3] and [14]) and that a Kähler group with non-trivial first L2-Betti number is commensurable to a *surface group* (i.e. the fundamental group of a closed Riemann surface) [13]. Very recently, Delzant and Py showed that if a Kähler group acts geometrically on a locally finite CAT(0) cube complex, then it is commensurable to a direct product of finitely many surface groups and a free abelian group [10].

More generally, a close connection between Kähler groups acting on CAT(0) cube complexes and subgroups of direct products of surface groups has been observed starting with the work of Delzant and Gromov on cuts in Kähler groups [9]. This led Delzant and Gromov to pose the question of which Kähler groups are subgroups of direct products of surface groups? Following the work of Bridson, Howie, Miller and Short [4, 5], one knows that this question is intimately related to the question of finding Kähler groups which are not of *finiteness type* $\mathcal{F}_r$ for some r, i.e. do not admit a classifying space with finite r-skeleton: any subgroup of a direct product of surface groups which is $\mathcal{F}_r$ for all r is virtually a direct product of surface groups and finitely generated free groups.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32J27 (32Q15, 20F65, 20J05).

Key words and phrases. Kähler groups, Branched covers, Homological finiteness properties.

This work was supported by a EPSRC Research Studentship and by the German National Academic Foundation.

The first examples of Kähler subgroups of direct products of surface groups which are of type $\mathcal{F}_{r-1}$ but not $\mathcal{F}_r$ ($r \geq 3$) were constructed by Dimca, Papadima and Suciu [11]. Their class of examples has since been extended by Biswas, Mj and Pancholi [2] and by the author [16]. All of these examples arise as kernels of surjective homomorphisms of the form $\pi_1 S_{g_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{g_r} \to \mathbb{Z}^2$ where $r \geq 3$ and S_{g_i} is a closed Riemann surface of genus $g_i \geq 2$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Very recently, examples of Kähler groups that are of type $\mathcal{F}_{r-1}$ but not of type $\mathcal{F}_r$, and which are not commensurable to any subgroup of a direct product of surface groups have been constructed by Bridson and the author [7].

This paper consists of two parts. In the first part (Sections 2 and 3) we develop a new construction method for Kähler groups. The groups obtained from this method arise as fundamental groups of fibres of holomorphic maps onto higher-dimensional complex tori. In the second part (Sections 4 and 5) we address Delzant and Gromov's question by applying our construction method to provide Kähler subgroups of direct products of surface groups that are not commensurable with any of the previous examples. These arise as kernels of a surjective homomorphisms onto $\mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ and are *irreducible*, i.e. do not decompose as direct product of two nontrivial groups (even virtually).

One says that a surjective holomorphic map $h : X \to Y$ between compact complex manifolds has *isolated singularities* if the critical locus of h intersects each fibre (preimage of a point) in a discrete subset. The key result in our construction method is Theorem 2.4, a special case of which is:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n+k$ and let Y be a complex torus of dimension k. Let $h : X \to Y$ be a surjective holomorphic map with connected smooth generic fibre H. Assume that there is a filtration*

$$\{0\} \subset Y^0 \subset Y^1 \subset \cdots \subset Y^{k-1} \subset Y^k = Y$$

of Y by complex subtori Y^l of dimension l such that the projections

$$h_l = \pi_l \circ h : X \to Y/Y^{k-l}$$

have isolated singularities, where $\pi_l : Y \to Y/Y^{k-l}$ is the holomorphic quotient homomorphism.

If $n = \dim H \geq 2$, then the map h induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1 H \to \pi_1 X \to \pi_1 Y = \mathbb{Z}^{2k} \to 1.$$

Furthermore, we obtain that $\pi_i(X, H) = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq \dim H$.

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.4 are generalisations of [11, Theorem C] and [7, Theorem 2.2]. These Theorems are complemented by a method for proving that the fibres of h are connected under suitable assumptions on the Kähler manifold X and the map h (see Theorem 3.1).

We expect that our methods can be applied to construct interesting new classes of Kähler groups. Indeed we provide a first application in this work, by constructing new classes of subgroups of direct products of Kähler groups. More precisely, we will prove

Theorem 1.2. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $r \geq 3k$ be integers and let E be an elliptic curve (i.e. a complex torus of dimension one). For $1 \leq i \leq r$ let $\alpha_i : S_{\gamma_i} \to E$ be a branched cover of E, where S_{γ_i} is a closed hyperbolic surface of genus $\gamma_i \geq 2$. Then there is a surjective holomorphic map*

$$h : S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r} \to E^{\times k}$$

with smooth generic fibre $\overline{H}$ such that the restriction of h to each factor S_{γ_i} factors through α_i. The map h induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1 S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_r} \to \pi_1 E^{\times k} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k} \to 1$$

and the group $\pi_1\overline{H}$ is Kähler of type $\mathcal{F}_{r-k}$ but not of type $\mathcal{F}_r$. Furthermore, $\pi_1\overline{H}$ is irreducible.

Here we use the notation $E^{\times k} = \underbrace{E \times \cdots \times E}_{k \text{ times}}$ for the cartesian product of k copies of E. The coabelian subgroups of direct products of surface groups form an important subclass of the class of all subgroups of direct products of surface groups. Indeed, in the case of three factors any finitely presented full subdirect subgroup of $D = \pi_1 S_{\gamma_1} \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_2} \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_3}$ is virtually *coabelian*, i.e. contains the derived subgroup $[D_0, D_0]$ of some $D_0 \leq D$ of finite index; with more factors any full subdirect subgroup is virtually conilpotent [6].

Structure: This work is structured as follows: In Section 2 we prove Theorem 2.4. In Section 3 we prove a general result about the connectedness of fibres of a map from a direct product of manifolds onto a torus which we will use to check that Theorem 2.4 can be applied to our examples. (This result was contained in a previous version of [16], but was replaced by a more elegant argument which only applies in the context of that work.) In Section 4 we construct large new classes of Kähler subgroups of direct products of surface groups. In Section 5 we show that these examples are irreducible, i.e. are not virtually direct products. Theorem 1.2 follows immediately. We end by highlighting some interesting open questions in Section 6.

Acknowledgements. I am very grateful to my PhD advisor Martin Bridson for his generous support and the many very helpful discussions we had about the contents of this paper, and to Simon Donaldson for inspiring conversations about topics related to the contents of this paper.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let X and Y be complex manifolds and let $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective holomorphic map. Recall that the map f has *isolated singularities* if for every $y \in Y$ and every $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ there is a neighbourhood U of x in $f^{-1}(y)$ such that $\big(U \cap f^{-1}(y)\big) \smallsetminus \{x\}$ is smooth. It follows that a map f has isolated singularities if the set of singular points of f intersects every fibre of f in a discrete set.

Before we proceed we fix some notation: For a set M and subsets $A, B \subset M$ we will denote by $A \smallsetminus B$ the set theoretic difference of A and B. If $M = T^n$ is an n-dimensional torus then we will denote by $A - B = \{a - b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ the group theoretic difference of A and B with respect to the additive group structure on T^n. We will be careful to distinguish $-$ from set theoretic $\smallsetminus$.

Having isolated singularities yields strong restrictions on the topology of the fibres near the singularities. We will only make indirect use of these restrictions here, by applying Theorem [11, Theorem C]. For further background on isolated singularities see [17].

Conjecture 2.1. *Let X be a compact connected complex manifold of dimension $n + k$ and let Y be a k-dimensional complex torus or a Riemann surface of positive genus. Let $h : X \to Y$ be a surjective holomorphic map with connected generic fibre.*

Let further $\widehat{h} : \widehat{X} \to \widetilde{Y}$ be the pull-back fibration of h under the universal cover $p : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$ and let H be the generic smooth fibre of $\widehat{h}$, or equivalently of h.

Suppose that h has only isolated singularities. Then

(1) $\pi_i(\widehat{X}, H) = 0$ for all $i \leq \dim H$

(2) If, moreover, $\dim H \geq 2$, then the induced homomorphism $h_* : \pi_1 X \to \pi_1 Y$ is surjective with kernel isomorphic to $\pi_1 H$.

Conjecture 2.1 is a generalisation of [11, Theorem C] to higher dimensions. More precisely, [11, Theorem C] is the special case when Y is a closed Riemann surface of positive genus. We have strong evidence towards this conjecture, but there is an annoying technical detail which we were not able to overcome yet. Here we will prove Theorem 1.1, which is a special case of Conjecture 2.1. In fact we will prove a more general result from which Theorem 1.1 follows immediately. In Section 4 we then proceed to construct examples of maps satisfying the conditions in this result.

Definition 2.2. Let X, Y be compact complex manifolds. We say that a surjective map $h : X \to Y$ has *fibrelong isolated singularities* if it factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \\ & \searrow^{h} & \downarrow f \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

where Z is a compact complex manifold, g is a regular holomorphic fibration, and f is holomorphic with isolated singularities.

Bridson and the author [7] proved the following generalisation of [11, Theorem C] for maps with fibrelong isolated singularities.

Theorem 2.3. *Let Y be a closed surface of positive genus and let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Let $h : X \to Y$ be a surjective holomorphic map with connected smooth generic fibre $\overline{H}$. If h has fibrelong isolated singularities, g and f are as above, and f has connected fibres of dimension $m \geq 2$, then the sequence*

$$1 \to \pi_1 \overline{H} \to \pi_1 X \xrightarrow{h_*} \pi_1 Y \to 1$$

is exact.

If, in addition, the smooth fibre F of g is connected and aspherical and the induced map $\pi_2 Z \to \pi_1 F$ vanishes, then we obtain a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1 F \to \pi_1 \overline{H} \xrightarrow{g_*} \pi_1 H \to 1.$$

Moreover $\pi_i(\overline{H}) \cong \pi_i(H) \cong \pi_i(Z) \cong \pi_i(X)$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$, where H is the smooth generic fibre of f.

Proof. The first part of this result is [7, Theorem 2.2]. The second part is [7, Proposition 2.3]. □

As remarked in the comment before [7, Proposition 2.3], $\pi_2 Z \to \pi_1 F$ is trivial if $\pi_1 F$ has no non-trivial normal abelian subgroups, which is for instance the case for direct products of surface groups of genus ≥ 2.

2.1. **Restrictions on $h : X \to Y$.** Let X be a compact complex manifold and let Y be a complex torus of dimension k. Let $h : X \to Y$ be a surjective holomorphic map. Assume that there is a filtration

$$\{0\} \subset Y^0 \subset Y^1 \subset \cdots \subset Y^{k-1} \subset Y^k = Y$$

of Y by complex subtori Y^l of dimension l, $0 \leq l \leq k$. Let $\pi_l : Y \to Y/Y^{k-l}$ be the canonical holomorphic projection.

Assume that the maps h and $h_l = \pi_l \circ h : X \to Y/Y^{k-l}$ have connected fibres and fibrelong isolated singularities. In particular, there are compact complex manifolds Z_l such that h_l factors as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{g_l} & Z_l \\ & \searrow^{h_l} & \downarrow f_l \\ & & Y/Y^{k-l} \end{array} .$$

with g_l a regular holomorphic fibration and f_l surjective holomorphic with isolated singularities and connected fibres. Assume further that the smooth compact fibre F_l of g_l is connected and aspherical. We denote by $\overline{H}_l$ the connected smooth generic fibre of h_l and by H_l the connected smooth generic fibre of f_l.

For a generic point $x^0 = \left(x_1^0, \cdots, x_k^0\right) \in Y$ we claim that $x^{0,l} = x^{0,k} + Y^{k-l} \in Y/Y^{k-l}$ is a regular value of h_l for $0 \leq l \leq k$: For $1 \leq l \leq k$ there is a proper subvariety $V^l \subset Y/Y^{k-l}$ such that the set of critical values of h_l is contained in V^l; any choice of x^0 in the open dense subset $Y \setminus \left(\cup_{l=1}^k \pi_l^{-1}(V^l)\right) \subset Y$ satisfies the assertion.

The smooth generic fibres $\overline{H}_l = h_l^{-1}(x^{0,l})$ of h_l form a nested sequence

$$\overline{H} = \overline{H}_k \subset \overline{H}_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset \overline{H}_0 = X.$$

Consider the corestriction of h_l to the elliptic curve $x^{0,l} + Y^{k-l+1}/Y^{k-l} \subset Y/Y^{k-l}$. The map

$$h_l|_{\overline{H}_{l-1}} : h_l^{-1}\left(x^{0,l} + Y^{k-l+1}/Y^{k-l}\right) = h^{-1}\left(x^{0,k} + Y^{k-l+1}\right) = \overline{H}_{l-1} \to x^{0,l} + Y^{k-l+1}/Y^{k-l}$$

is holomorphic surjective with fibrelong isolated singularities and connected smooth generic fibre $\overline{H}_l = h_l^{-1}(x^{0,l} + Y^{k-l})$.

Assume that the induced map $\pi_2\overline{H}_{l-1} \to \pi_1 F_l$ is trivial for $1 \leq l \leq k$. Then the following result holds:

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that $h : X \to Y$ has all the properties described in Paragraph 2.1 and that $n := \min_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \dim H_l \geq 2$. Then the map h induces a short exact sequence*

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1 X \xrightarrow{h_*} \pi_1 Y \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k} \to 1$$

and $\pi_i(\overline{H}) \cong \pi_i(X)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Note that Theorem 1.1 is the special case of Theorem 2.4 with $Z_l = X$ and $g_l = id_X$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. The proof uses an inductive argument reducing the statement to an iterated application of Theorem 2.3.

Since $\dim H_l \geq n \geq 2$, Theorem 2.3 implies the restriction $h_l|_{\overline{H}_{l-1}}$ induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H}_l \to \pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1} \xrightarrow{h_{l*}} \pi_1\left(x^{0,l} + Y^{k-l+1}/Y^{k-l}\right) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \to 1 \tag{2.1}$$

and that $\pi_i(\overline{H}_{l-1}) \cong \pi_i(\overline{H}_l)$ for $2 \le i \le \dim H_l - 1$, where $1 \le l \le k$. In particular, we obtain that $\pi_i(\overline{H}_{l-1}) \cong \pi_i(\overline{H}_l)$ for $2 \le i \le n-1$.

Hence, we are left to prove that the short exact sequences in (2.1) induce a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1 X \to \pi_1 Y = \mathbb{Z}^{2k} \to 1.$$

For this consider the commutative diagram of topological spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\overline{H} & \hookrightarrow & X = \overline{H}_0 = h_k^{-1}\left(x^{0,0} + V^k\right) & \xrightarrow{h} & x^{0,0} + V^k \\
= \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\overline{H} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{H}_1 = h^{-1}\left(x^{0,1} + V^{k-1}\right) & \xrightarrow{h} & x^{0,1} + V^{k-1} \\
= \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
= \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\overline{H} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{H}_{k-1} = h_k^{-1}\left(x^{0,k-1} + V^1\right) & \xrightarrow{h} & x^{0,k-1} + V^1 \\
= \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\overline{H} & \hookrightarrow & \overline{H} = \overline{H}_k = h_k^{-1}\left(x^{0,k} + V^0\right) & \xrightarrow{h} & x^{0,k} + V^0
\end{array}$$

This induces a commutative diagram of fundamental groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1\overline{H} & \hookrightarrow & \pi_1 X & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \pi_1(x^{0,0} + V^k) = \mathbb{Z}^{2k} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & = \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1\overline{H} & \hookrightarrow & \pi_1\overline{H}_1 & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \pi_1(x^{0,1} + V^{k-1}) = \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & = \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
 & & = \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1\overline{H} & \hookrightarrow & \pi_1\overline{H}_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \pi_1(x^{0,k-1} + V^1) = \mathbb{Z}^2 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & = \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1\overline{H} & \hookrightarrow & \pi_1\overline{H} & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \pi_1(x^{0,k} + V^0) = 1 & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

where injectivity of the vertical maps in the middle column follows from (2.1). The last two rows in this diagram are short exact sequences: The last row is obviously exact and the penultimate row is exact by (2.1) for $l = k$.

We will now prove by induction (with l decreasing) that the l-th row from the bottom

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1\overline{H}_l \to \pi_1(x^{0,l} + V^{k-l}) \to 1$$

is a short exact sequence for $0 \le l \le k$.

Assume that the statement is true for l. We want to prove it for $l-1$. Exactness at $\pi_1\overline{H}$ follows from the sequence of injections $\pi_1\overline{H}_l \hookrightarrow \pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1}$.

For exactness at $\pi_1(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})$ observe that, by the Ehresmann fibration theorem, the fibration $\overline{H}_{l-1} \to x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1}$ restricts to a locally trivial fibration $\overline{H}^*_{l-1} \to (x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})^*$ with connected fibre $\overline{H}$ over the complement $(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})^*$ of the subvariety of critical values of h in $x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1}$. Hence, the induced map $\pi_1\overline{H}^*_{l-1} \to \pi_1(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})^*$ on fundamental groups is surjective. Since the complements $\overline{H}_{l-1} \setminus \overline{H}^*_{l-1}$ and $(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1}) \setminus (x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})^*$ are contained in complex analytic subvarieties of real codimension at least two, the induced map $\pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1} \to \pi_1(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})$ is surjective.

For exactness at $\pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1}$ it is clear that $\pi_1\overline{H} \le \ker\left(\pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1} \to \pi_1(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})\right)$. Hence, the only thing that is left to prove is that $\pi_1\overline{H}$ contains

$$\ker\left(\pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1} \to \pi_1(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1}) = \mathbb{Z}^{2k-2(l-1)}\right).$$

Let $g \in \ker\left(\pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1} \overset{h_*}{\to} \pi_1(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})\right)$. Then

$$g \in \ker\left(\pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1} \overset{h_{l*}}{\to} \pi_1\left(x^{0,l}+Y^{k-l+1}/Y^{k-l}\right)\right),$$

since the map h_{l*} factors through $h_* : \pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1} \to \pi_1(x^{0,l-1}+Y^{k-l+1})$.

By exactness of (2.1) for l, this implies that there is $h \in \pi_1\overline{H}_l$ with $\iota_{l*}(h) = g$, where $\iota_l : \overline{H}_l \hookrightarrow \overline{H}_{l-1}$ is the inclusion map. It follows from commutativity of the diagram of groups (2.2) and injectivity of the vertical maps that $h \in \ker(\pi_1\overline{H}_l \to \pi_1(x^{0,l}+Y^{k-l}))$. The induction assumption now implies that $h \in \mathrm{Im}(\pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1\overline{H}_l)$.

Hence, by Induction hypothesis, $g \in \pi_1\overline{H}$ and therefore the map $h|_{\overline{H}_{l-1}}$ does indeed induce a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1\overline{H}_{l-1} \to \pi_1 Y^{k-l+1} \to 1.$$

In particular, for $l = 0$ we then obtain that h induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1 X \to \pi_1 Y \to 1.$$

□

Note that our proof of Theorem 2.4 uses the fact that the maps h_l are proper, since this is required to justify the application of the Ehresmann fibration theorem here and also in the proof of [11, Theorem C] which is used in the proof of [7, Theorem 2.2]. Several natural approaches to Conjecture 2.1 fail at this point because a non-proper situation arises when pursuing a similar inductive technique.

Theorems 1.1 and 2.4 offer the potential of large new classes of examples of Kähler groups with interesting properties. An instance of this are the examples we construct in Section 4. One can combine these examples with the construction methods based on Kodaira fibrations developed in [7] to obtain further new classes of Kähler groups with exotic finiteness properties.

3. Connectedness of fibres

We will make use of the following result about the connectedness of fibres of maps onto complex tori.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X_1, X_2, and X_3 be connected compact manifolds, Y a torus and $y \in Y$. Assume that there are surjective maps $f_1 : X_1 \to Y$, $f_3 : X_3 \to Y$ and $f_2 : X_2 \to Y$, $g = f_2 + f_3 : X_2 \times X_3 \to Y$ with the following properties:*

(1) For any $u \in Y$ there is $x_3 \in f_3^{-1}(u)$ such that any path in Y starting at u lifts to a path in X_3 starting at x_3.

(2) There is $w \in Y$, an open ball $B \subset Y$ with center w and $x_2^0 \in f_2^{-1}(w)$ so that every loop in B based at w lifts to a loop in X_2 based at x_2^0.

(3) There is $D_1 \subset Y$ such that $f_1 : X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1) \to Y \setminus D_1$ is an unramified covering map and a basis $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k$ of standard generators of $\pi_1 Y$ satsifying assertion (4) such that its normal closure in $\pi_1(Y \setminus D_1)$ satisfies

$$\langle\langle \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k \rangle\rangle \leq f_{1*}(\pi_1(X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1)).$$

Assume furthermore that the set $(X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ is path-connected for all $y \in Y$.

(4) Assume that there are $p_1, \cdots, p_l \in D_1$ and $g_1, \cdots, g_k \in \pi_1(Y \setminus D_1)$ such that $\pi_1(Y \setminus D_1) = \langle \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k, b_1, \cdots, b_l \rangle$ and for any choice of open neighbourhoods U_i of p_i, $1 \leq i \leq l$ there are paths $\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_l : [0,1] \to Y \setminus D_1$ starting at a base point $z^0 \in Y \setminus D_1$ and ending at a point in U_i and loops $\nu_i : [0,1] \to Y \setminus D_1$ such that the concatenation $\beta_i = \delta_i \cdot \nu_i \cdot \delta_i^- 1$ is a representative of b_i with base point z^0.

Let $h = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 : X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \to Y$ and $H_y = h^{-1}(y)$ be its fibre at y.

Then the projection map $\mathrm{pr} : H_y \to X_2 \times X_3$ is surjective, its restriction $\mathrm{pr} : H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1)) \to (X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ is a covering map and the set $H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))$ is connected.

As an immediate Corollary we obtain

Corollary 3.2. *If, under the same assumptions, we further assume that $\overline{H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))} = H_y$, then h has connected fibres.*

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we want to give an intuition how the proof works: The basic idea is that the projection $\mathrm{pr} : H_y \to X_2 \times X_3$ behaves like a branched covering which is obtained purely by branching over a subset of $X_2 \times X_3$. The branching behaviour comes from the fact that the covering $f_1 : X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1) \to Y \setminus D_1$ behaves like a branched covering over D_1.

This allows us to show connectedness of H_y by showing connectedness of the covering. After choosing a suitable point $(x_2^0, x_3^0) \in X_2 \times X_3$ and a point $x^0 = \left(x_1^0, x_0^2, x_0^3\right) \in \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(x_2^0, x_0^3)$, we need to prove that for any $x = (x_1, x_2^0, x_3^0) \in \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(x_2^0, x_3^0)$ there is a loop in $X_2 \times X_3$ whose lift to H_y connects x^0 to x. We obtain such a loop by first choosing a suitable path γ_1 in X_1. Since by properties (3) and (4) the covering f_1 comes purely from branching, we can choose this path to project onto a concatenation of loops of the form $\delta_i \cdot \nu_i \cdot \delta_i^{-1}$.

The path γ_1 is not contained in H_y though. To fix this problem we go forth and back along paths in X_3 to compensate for the δ_i contributions to γ_i and travel along small loops in X_2 to compensate for the ν_i contribution, yielding a loop in $X_2 \times X_3$. Properties (1) and (2) ensure that we can do this. We will now formalize this argument.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We start by proving that the projection $\mathrm{pr} : H_y \to X_2 \times X_3$ is surjective and that the preimage of any point in $(X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ has precisely m elements.

For a point $(x_2, x_3) \in X_2 \times X_3$ consider the intersection

$$\begin{aligned} H_y \cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(x_2, x_3) &= \{(x, x_2, x_3) \in X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \mid f_1(x) = y - g(x_2, x_3)\} \\ &= f_1^{-1}(y - g(x_2, x_3)) \times \{(x_2, x_3)\} \end{aligned}$$

By surjectivity of f_1, this set is non-empty and thus pr is surjective. If, moreover, $(x_2, x_3) \in (X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$, then we obtain that $y - g(x_2, x_3) \in Y \setminus D_1$ and thus by assumption (3) the intersection $H_y \cap \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(x_2, x_3)$ has precisely m elements.

In fact, the restriction of pr to $H_y \setminus \left(\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))\right)$ is an unramified covering:

Let $(x_2, x_3) \in (X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ and let $U \subset Y \setminus D_1$ be an open neighbourhood of $y - g(x_2, x_3)$ such that $f_1^{-1}(U)$ is the union of m pairwise disjoint open sets $V_1, \cdots, V_m$ with the property that $f_1|_{V_i} : V_i \to U$ is a homeomorphism for $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Such a U exists, since f_1 is an unramified covering on $X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1)$.

The preimage $\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - U))$ consists of the disjoint union of the m open sets $H_y \cap (V_i \times g^{-1}(y - U))$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$. The restriction $\mathrm{pr} : H_y \cap (V_i \times g^{-1}(y - U)) \to g^{-1}(y - U)$ is continuous and bijective and has continuous inverse

$$(x_2, x_3) \mapsto \left((f|_{V_i})^{-1}(y - g(x_2, x_3)), x_2, x_3\right)$$

on the open set $g^{-1}(y - U)$. Thus, pr is indeed an unramified covering map over $(X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ of covering degree equal to the covering degree of f_1.

We will now show how conditions (1)-(4) imply connectedness of $H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))$:

Let $z^0 \in Y \setminus D_1$ be as in (4) and let $f_1^{-1}(z^0) = \left\{x_{1,1}^0, \cdots, x_{1,m}^0\right\}$. Let further w and x_2^0 be as in (2) and let $x_3^0 \in f_3^{-1}(y - z_0 - w)$ be as in (1).

Since by (3) the set $(X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ is path-connected and we proved that pr is an m-sheeted covering over this set, it suffices to show that for $x^0 = (x_{1,1}^0, x_2^0, x_3^0)$ we can find paths

$$\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_m : [0, 1] \to H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))$$

with $\alpha_i(0) = x^0$ and $\alpha_i(1) = (x_{1,j}^0, x_2^0, x_3^0)$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$.

For $p_1, \cdots, p_l$ as in (4), let $U_1, \cdots, U_l$ be open neighbourhoods such that for any point $v \in Y$ we have: If $w \in v - U_i$, then $v - U_i \subset B$, where w and B are as in (2).
Such U_i clearly always exist by choosing $\mathrm{diam}(U_i) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}(B)$ with respect to the standard Euclidean metric on the torus $Y \cong \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Since $f_1|_{X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1)}$ is an m-sheeted covering, there exist coset representatives

$$s_1, \cdots, s_m : [0, 1] \to Y \setminus D_1$$

for $\pi_1(Y \setminus D_1)/(f_{1*}\pi_1(X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1))$ such that s_i lifts to a path in $X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1)$ starting at $x_{1,1}^0$ and ending at $x_{1,i}^0$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$.

Since, by (3), $\langle\langle \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k \rangle\rangle \leq f_{1*}\pi_1(X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1))$ we may assume that the loops $s_1, \cdots, s_m$ represent elements of $\langle g_1, \cdots, g_l \rangle$ (see (4)).

Hence, by (4), each of the s_i is homotopic to a concatenation of loops of the form $\beta_j = \delta_j \cdot \nu_j \cdot (\delta_j)^{-1}$ and their inverses. Thus, without loss of generality we may assume that s_i is indeed a concatentation of such loops.

By (1) there is a lift $\epsilon_j : [0, 1] \to X_3$ of the path $t \mapsto y - w - \delta_j(t)$ with $\epsilon_j(0) = x_3^0$, $j = 1, \cdots, l$.

Note that

$$w = y - f_3(\epsilon_j(1)) - \delta_j(1) = y - f_3(\epsilon_j(1)) - \nu_j(0) \in y - f_3(\epsilon_j(1)) - U_j.$$

Thus, the map

$$t \mapsto y - f_3(\epsilon_j(1)) - \nu_j(t) \text{ in } y - f_3(\epsilon_j(1)) - U_j \subset B$$

is a loop in B.

Hence, by (2), there is a loop $\lambda_j : [0,1] \to f_2^{-1}(B)$ with $\lambda_j(0) = \lambda_j(1) = x_2^0$ lifting the loop $t \mapsto y - f_3(\epsilon_j(1)) - \nu_j(t)$ to X_2.

By construction, the concatenation

$$t_j = (x_2^0, \epsilon_j) \cdot (\lambda_j, \epsilon_j(1)) \cdot (x_2^0, (\epsilon_j)^{-1})$$

is a loop in $(X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ such that $g \circ t_j + \beta_j \equiv y$.

Let $s_i = \beta_j^{\epsilon_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \beta_{j_r}^{\epsilon_r}$ for $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $j_i \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$ and let $\widetilde{s_i} : [0,1] \to X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1)$ be the unique lift of s_i with $\widetilde{s_i}(0) = x_{1,1}^0$. Then

$$\alpha_i = \left(\widetilde{s_i}, t_{j_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdot \cdots \cdot t_{j_r}^{\epsilon_r}\right) : [0,1] \to H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))$$

defines a path in $H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))$ with $\alpha_i(0) = (x_{1,1}^0, x_2^0, x_3^0)$ and $\alpha_i(1) = (x_{1,i}^0, x_2^0, x_3^0)$. In particular, it follows that $H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))$ is connected.

□

The following remark should make clear why the seemingly rather abstract conditions in the Theorem come up naturally:

Remark 3.3.

(a) The condition $\langle\langle \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k \rangle\rangle \leq f_{1*}\pi_1(X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1))$ is equivalent to:
For any choice of $x_1 \in f_1^{-1}(z^0)$, the unique lift of μ_j to $X_1 \setminus f_1^{-1}(D_1)$ with $\mu_j(0) = x_1$ is in fact a loop.

(b) It is well-known that condition (4) is satisfied for $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ an elliptic curve and $D_1 = \{p_1, \cdots, p_l\}$ a finite set of points. This follows by choosing the ν_i to be the boundary circles of small discs around p_i and the δ_i to be simple pairwise non-intersecting paths connecting z^0 to $\nu_i(0)$ inside a fundamental domain for the $\Lambda(\cong \mathbb{Z}^2)$-action on $\mathbb{C}$.

(c) Condition (2) is for instance satisfied if f_2 is an unramified covering on the complement of a closed proper subset $D_2 \subset Y$.

(d) Condition (1) is satisfied in many circumstances in which f_3 is surjective, for instance if f_3 satisfies the homotopy lifting property. It is also clearly satisfied if f_3 is of the form $q_1 + \cdots + q_n : X_{3,1} \times \cdots \times X_{3,n} \to Y = E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ such that q_1 is a branched finitesheeted cover.

(e) Path-connectedness of $(X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ is for instance satisfied if f_2 and f_3 are holomorphic and surjective and D_1 is an analytic subvariety of Y of codimension ≥ 1 , since then $g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ is an analytic subvariety of codimension ≥ 1 in $X_2 \times X_3$.

We recall the notion of a *purely branched* covering map which was introduced in [16]. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a surjective map between closed connected manifolds with Y a torus and f a *branched covering* map, that is, there is a subvariety $D \subset Y$ of (real) codimension greater than one such that the restriction $f : X \setminus f^{-1}(D) \to Y \setminus D$ is an unramified covering map and $f^{-1}(D)$ is a nowhere dense subset of X mapping onto D. Let μ_1, $\cdots$, μ_k, g_1, $\cdots$, g_l be generators of

$\pi_1(Y \setminus D)$ as described in Theorem 3.1(4). We call f *purely branched* if it satisfies the condition $\langle\langle \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k \rangle\rangle \leq f_{1*}(\pi_1(X \setminus f^{-1}(D)))$.

Remark 3.4. A change of a lift of the basepoint for the fundamental group of $X \setminus f^{-1}(D)$ corresponds to conjugation by an element of $\pi_1(Y \setminus D)$. Hence, an equivalent topological characterisation of the property that for a regular covering map $f : X \setminus f^{-1}(D) \to Y \setminus D$ the normal subgroup generated by elements $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k$ is in the image of f_* is that every lift of the μ_i to $X \setminus f^{-1}(D)$ is a loop.

In particular, a branched covering $f : S_g \to E$ of an elliptic curve by a closed Riemann surface is purely branched if and only if there is a generating set $\mu_1, \mu_2 : [0,1] \to E \setminus D$ of $\pi_1 E$ such that every lift of each μ_i is a loop in S_g, where D is the branching locus of f.

Addendum 3.5. *Conditions (1)-(4) of Theorem 3.1 are well-behaved under taking direct products. For instance if $f_1 : X_1 \to Y$ and $f_1' : X_1' \to Y'$ satisfy conditions (3) and (4) for sets $D_1 \subset Y$ and $D_1' \subset Y'$, then it is easy to see that also $(f_1, f_1') : X_1 \times X_1' \to Y \times Y'$ satisfies conditions (3) and (4) for the set $D = (Y \times D_1') \cup (D_1 \times Y)$, with the possible exception of connectedness of $((X_2 \times X_2') \times (X_3 \times X_3')) \setminus g^{-1}((y, y') - D)$.*

In fact, we have the following stronger result in the setting of purely branched covering maps.

Lemma 3.6. *Let Y and Y' be tori and let $f : X \to Y$ and $f' : X' \to Y'$ be purely branched covering maps with branching loci D, respectively D'. Then the map $(f, f') : X \times X' \to Y \times Y'$ is purely branched with branching locus $(D \times Y') \cup (Y \times D')$.*

Proof. It is clear that the map is a branched covering with branching locus $(D \times X') \cup (X \times D')$. Let $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k$ be generators of $\pi_1 Y$ and $\mu_1', \cdots, \mu_{k'}'$ be generators of $\pi_1 Y'$ such that $\langle\langle \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k \rangle\rangle \leq f_*(\pi_1(X \setminus f^{-1}(D)))$ and $\left\langle\left\langle \mu_1', \cdots, \mu_{k'}' \right\rangle\right\rangle \leq f_*'(\pi_1(X' \setminus f'^{-1}(D')))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left\langle \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k, \mu_1', \cdots, \mu_{k'}' \right\rangle\right\rangle &= \langle\langle \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k \rangle\rangle \times \left\langle\left\langle \mu_1', \cdots, \mu_{k'}' \right\rangle\right\rangle \\
&\leq f_*(\pi_1(X \setminus f^{-1}(D))) \times f_*'(\pi_1(X' \setminus f'^{-1}(D'))) \\
&= (f, f')_* \left(\pi_1 \left((X \times X') \setminus ((f^{-1}(D) \times X') \cup (X \times f^{-1}(D')))\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (f, f') is indeed purely branched. □

The proof that condition (4) is preserved under taking products is similar.

4. A class of higher dimensional examples

In this section we will construct a general class of examples of Kähler subgroups of direct products of surface groups arising as kernels of homomorphisms onto $\mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ for any $k \geq 1$. Let $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ be an elliptic curve, let $r \geq 3$ and let

$$\alpha_i : S_{\gamma_i} \to E$$

be branched holomorphic coverings for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Our groups will be the fundamental groups of the fibres of holomorphic surjective maps from the direct product $S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$ onto the k-fold direct product $E^{\times k}$ of E with itself. For vectors $w_1, \cdots w_n \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ we will use the notation $(w_1 \mid \cdots \mid w_n)$ to denote the $k \times n$-matrix with columns w_i. To construct these maps we make use of the following result

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $v_1 = \left(v_{1,1}, \cdots, v_{k,1}\right)^t, \cdots, v_r = \left(v_{1,r}, \cdots, v_{k,r}\right)^t \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. *Then the* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear map* $B = (v_1 \mid v_2 \mid \cdots \mid v_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k\times r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{k\times r}$ *descends to a holomorphic map*

$$\overline{B} : E^{\times r} \to E^{\times k}.$$

If, in addition, $r = k$ *and* $B \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{k}\times\mathrm{k}}$ *then* $\overline{B}$ *is a regular covering map. In particular,* $\overline{B}$ *is a biholomorphic automorphism of* $E^{\times k}$ *if* $B \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that B preserves maps $\Lambda^{\times r}$ into $\Lambda^{\times k}$. For this let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for Λ and denote by $\lambda_{1,i}, \lambda_{2,i}$ the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the ith factor of $\Lambda^{\times r}$ and by $\lambda'_{1,j}, \lambda'_{2,j}$ the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of the jth factor of $\Lambda^{\times k}$. Then we have

$$B\lambda_{i,j} = \sum_{l=1}^{k} v_{l,j}\lambda'_{i,l} \in \Lambda^{\times k} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le 2,\ 1 \le j \le r$$

It follows that B descends to a holomorphic map $\overline{B} : E^{\times r} \to E^{\times k}$. If $B \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{k}\times\mathrm{k}}$ then $\overline{B}$ is a regular covering map, since B is a local homeomorphism. If, in addition, $B \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{Z})$, then it is immediate that $\overline{B}$ and $\overline{B^{-1}}$ are mutual inverses. □

We say that a set of vectors $\mathcal{C} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_r\} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ has property

(P1) if there is a partition $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2 \cup \mathcal{E}_3$ such that $\mathcal{E}_1$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathbb{Z}^k \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$, $\mathcal{E}_3$ are both spanning sets for $\mathbb{C}^k$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space.

(P1') if (P1) holds and $\mathcal{E}_1$ is the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathbb{Z}^k$

(P2) if $\mathcal{C}$ has property (P1) and in addition any choice of k vectors in $\mathcal{C}$ is linearly independent.

By Lemma 4.1 for any set $\mathcal{C} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ and $B = (v_1 \mid \cdots \mid v_r)$ we can define a holomorphic map

$$h = \overline{B} \circ (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_i \cdot \alpha_i : S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r} \to E^{\times k}.$$

We will be interested in maps h for which the set $\mathcal{C}$ has properties (P1') and (P2). Note that after adjusting by a biholomorphic automorphism of $E^{\times k}$, say $A \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{Z})$, and after reordering the factors of $S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$, we may in fact assume that $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$ and that $\{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$ is the standards basis for $\mathbb{Z}^k$. In particular, we may assume that property (P1') holds if property (P1) holds.

The following result shows that such maps exist.

Proposition 4.2. *For all positive integers* r, k *there is a set* $\mathcal{C} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ *with the property that for any integers* $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \le r$ *the subset* $\{v_{i_1}, \cdots, v_{i_k}\}$ *is linearly independent. Moreover, if* $r \ge 3k$ *we may assume that* $\mathcal{C}$ *has properties (P1') and (P2).*

Proof. The proof is by induction on r. For $r = 1$ the statement is trivial. Assume that for a positive integer r we have a set $\mathcal{C} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ of r vectors with the property that for any $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \le r$ the subset $\{v_{i_1}, \cdots, v_{i_k}\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is linearly independent.

Let I be the set of all $(k-1)$-tuples $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1})$ of integers $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{k-1} \le r$. For $\mathbf{i} \in I$ denote by $W_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v_{i_1}, \cdots, v_{i_{k-1}}\}$ the $\mathbb{C}$-span of the linearly independent set $\{v_{i_1}, \cdots, v_{i_{k-1}}\}$. Then $W = \bigcup_{\mathbf{i}\in I} W_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a finite union of complex hyperplanes in $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that for any vector $v_{r+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus W$ the set $\mathcal{C} \cup \{v_{r+1}\}$ has the desired property. The set $\mathbb{Z}^k \setminus W$ is nonempty, because $\mathbb{Z}^k \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathbb{C}^k$.

If $r \geq 3k$ then choosing $\mathcal{E} = \{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$ to be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^k$, $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{v_{k+1}, \cdots, v_{2k}\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_3 = \{v_{2k+1}, \cdots, v_r\}$ ensures that properties (P1') and (P2) are satisfied. □

Note that the proof of Proposition 4.2 shows that properties (P1') and (P2) are in some sense generic properties.

The main result of this section is:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ and $\overline{B}$ be as defined above. Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies property (P1') and (P2), that $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$ and that $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$ are* purely *branched coverings. Then the smooth generic fibre $\overline{H}$ of h is connected and its fundamental group fits into a short exact sequence*

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1 S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_r} \xrightarrow{h_*} \pi_1 E^{\times k} = \mathbb{Z}^{2k} \to 1.$$

Furthermore, $\pi_1\overline{H}$ is a Kähler group of type $\mathcal{F}_{r-k}$, but not of type $\mathcal{F}_r$. In fact $\pi_j H = 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq r-k-1$.

Denote by $\pi_l : E^{\times k} \to \{0\} \times E^{\times l}$ the canonical projection onto the last l factors and for a map h satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4.3 let $h_l = \pi_l \circ h$. Due to the assumptions on $\mathcal{C}$ the map h_l factors as $h_l = f_l \circ g_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$ with

$$f_l = (v_{k-l+1} \mid \cdots \mid v_r) \circ (\alpha_{k-l+1}, \cdots, \alpha_r) : S_{\gamma_{k-l+1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r} \to Y^k/Y^{k-l} = \{0\} \times E^{\times l}$$

and

$$g_l : S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r} \to S_{\gamma_{k-l+1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$$

the canonical projection with fibre $F_l := S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_{k-l}}$, a product of closed hyperbolic surfaces. It follows from the fact that $v_1, \cdots, v_k \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ is a standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^k$ that $h_l = f_l \circ g_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$.

Theorem 4.3 will be a consequence of Theorem 1.1 after checking that the maps h, h_l, g_l and f_l satisfy all necessary conditions.

Proposition 4.4. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 4.3, the maps h, h_l, f_l, and g_l, $1 \leq l \leq k$, have connected fibres.*

Proof. By assumption $(v_1 \mid \cdots \mid v_k) = Id \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{Z})$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ has property (P2) we may further assume that $v_{k+1}, \cdots, v_{2k}$ and $v_{2k+1}, \cdots, v_{3k}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-bases of $\mathbb{C}^k$. We will prove connectedness of the fibres of h. Since $A_1 = Id$ it will be clear that connectedness of the fibres of f_l and h_l follow by the same argument. Connectedness of the fibres of g_l is trivial.

We introduce the notation $A_1 = (v_1 \mid \cdots \mid v_k)$, $A_2 = (v_{k+1} \mid \cdots \mid v_{2k})$ and $A_3 = (v_{2k+1} \mid \cdots \mid v_{3k})$. To simplify notation we will use the same notation for linear maps between $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces and their induced maps on direct products of elliptic curves.

We want to apply Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 to the following maps and compact complex manifolds to show that the fibres of h are connected:

$$f_1 = A_1 \circ (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k) : X_1 = S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_k} \to E^{\times k}$$

$$f_2 = A_2 \circ (\alpha_{k+1}, \cdots, \alpha_{2k}) : X_2 = S_{\gamma_{k+1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_{2k}} \to E^{\times k}$$

$$f_3 = (A_3 \mid v_{3k+1} \mid \cdots \mid v_r) \circ (\alpha_{2k+1}, \cdots, \alpha_r) : X_3 = S_{\gamma_{2k+1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r} \to E^{\times k}$$

Checking the conditions for Theorem 3.1: It is clear that f_1 and $g = f_2 + f_3$ are surjective holomorphic maps. We will now check that conditions (1) to (4) in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied for h.



FIGURE 1. Example of a k-fold purely branched cover constructed in [16] with 2g-2 branching points $d_{1,1}, d_{1,2}, \ldots, d_{g-1,2}$

Condition (1): Fix a point $p \in S_{\gamma_{3k+1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$ and let $q := (v_{3k+1} \mid \cdots \mid v_r) \circ (\alpha_{3k+1}, \cdots, \alpha_r)\,(p)$. Since $A_3 \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{k}\times\mathrm{k}}$ there is an inverse $A_3^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{Q})$ and a minimal integer $d_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $T_3 = d_3 \cdot A_3^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k\times k}$. In particular, we have $T_3 \cdot A_3 = d_3 \cdot \mathrm{Id}$. By Lemma 4.1 the induced map $T_3 : E^{\times k} \to E^{\times k}$ is a regular covering. Consider the composition

$$\hat{f}_3 = T_3 \circ f_3(z_{2k+1}, \cdots, z_{3k}, p) = (d_3 \cdot \alpha_{2k+1}(z_{2k+1}), \cdots, d_3 \cdot \alpha_{3k}(z_{3k})) + q. \tag{4.1}$$

Note that $\hat{f}_3$ is surjective. It suffices to prove that for any $\underline{w} \in E^{\times k}$ and $(\underline{z}, p) \in f_3^{-1}(\underline{w})$ we can lift any path $\nu : [0,1] \to E^{\times k}$ with $\nu(0) = \underline{w}$ to a path $(\widetilde{\nu}, p) : [0,1] \to X_3$ with $\widetilde{\nu}(0) = \underline{z}$ under f_3. Since T_3 is a regular covering this is possible if and only if there is a lift of $T_3 \circ \nu$ to a

path $(\widetilde{\nu}, p) : [0,1] \to X_3$ with $\widetilde{\nu}(0) = \underline{z}$. The latter follows immediately from equation (4.1) and the assumption that the α_i are branched covering maps.

Condition (2): By the same argument as above, there is a map $T_2 \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$T_2 \circ f_2 = (d_2 \cdot \alpha_{k+1}, \cdots, d_2 \cdot \alpha_{2k}) : \pi_1 S_{\gamma_{k+1}} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_{2k}} \to E^{\times k}$$

and since T_2 is a regular covering map Condition (2) holds if and only if it holds for the map $T_2 \circ f_2$. It is clear that Condition (2) holds for a regular value $\underline{w} \in E^{\times k}$ of $T_2 \circ f_2$ and a sufficiently small ball B around $\underline{w}$, since $T_2 \circ f_2$ restricts to an unramified finite-sheeted covering on the complement of a subset of complex codimension one in $E^{\times k}$.

Conditions (3) and (4): By assumption $A_1 = Id$ and $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$ are purely branched coverings and therefore satisfy property (3) with the exception of the path-connectedness part. By Remark 3.3(b) the maps $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$ satisfy condition (4).

Thus, an iterated application of Addendum 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 imply that $f_1 = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k)$ satisfies all conditions in (3) and (4) with $D_1 \subset E^{\times k}$ the codimension one subvariety of critical values of f_1 except for connectedness of $(X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ for $y \in E^{\times k}$. The latter follows from Remark 3.3(e), since g is holomorphic and surjective and D_1 is a codimension one complex analytic subvariety of $E^{\times k}$.

Hence, properties (1)-(4) of Theorem 3.1 are indeed satisfied and it follows that $H_y \setminus \left(\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))\right)$ is connected for all $y \in E^{\times k}$.

Applying Corollary 3.2: By Corollary 3.2 it suffices to show that $\overline{H}_y \setminus (\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))) = H_y$ for $y \in E^{\times k}$ to obtain connectedness of H_y.

Recall that $A_1 = Id$. Let $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))$. Since $g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ is an analytic variety of codimension one, its complement $(X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ is dense in $X_2 \times X_3$. Thus, there is a sequence $\{(x_{2,n}, x_{3,n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (X_2 \times X_3) \setminus g^{-1}(y - D_1)$ converging to (x_2, x_3) as $n \to \infty$.

Since $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$ are purely branched coverings and $y - g(x_2, x_3) \in D_1$, there is a neighbourhood U of $x_1 \in f_1^{-1}(y - g(x_2, x_3))$ in which f_1 takes the following form after an appropriate choice of coordinates:

$$(z_1, \cdots, z_k) \mapsto (z_1^{i_1}, \cdots, z_k^{i_k}) \text{ for some integers } i_1, \cdots, i_k \geq 1$$

and set of critical points D_1 is

$$D_1 = f_1(U) \cap \left(\bigcup_{j : i_j \geq 2} \{0\}^{j-1} \times \mathbb{C} \times \{0\}^{k-j+1} \right).$$

We may further assume that $y - g(x_{2,n}, x_{3,n}) \in f_1(U)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is now clear that we can choose a sequence $x_{1,n} \in f_1^{-1}(y - g(x_{2,n}, x_{3,n})$ which converges to x_1 as $n \to \infty$.

Hence, the sequence $\{(x_{1,n}, x_{2,n}, x_{3,n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H_y \setminus \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1))$ converges to (x_1, x_2, x_3) as $n \to \infty$ and in particular $\overline{H_y \setminus (\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(g^{-1}(y - D_1)))} = H_y$ for $y \in E^{\times k}$ is connected. □

Proposition 4.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 consider the filtration $Y^l = E^{\times l} \times \{0\}$ of $E^{\times k}$ where $\pi_l : E^{\times k} \to Y^k / Y^{k-l} = \{0\} \times E^{\times l}$ is the projection onto the first l coordinates.*

Then the map h satisfies the condition that $h_l = \pi_l \circ h$ has fibrelong isolated singularities for $0 \leq l \leq k$. More precisely, the factorisation $h_l = f_l \circ g_l$ satisfies that g_l is a regular fibration and f_l has isolated singularities. Furthermore, the dimension of the smooth generic fibre H_l of f_l is r-k for $1 \leq l \leq k$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{k}, \mathbb{Z})$ be the map constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.4 such that h_A satisfies $A_1 = Id$. To simplify notation we will assume that $A = Id$ and $h = h_A$.

Recall that by definition of f_l and g_l we have $h_l = f_l \circ g_l$. The map g_l is clearly a regular fibration. To see that the map f_l has isolated singularities consider its differential

$$\mathrm{D}f_l = (\mathrm{D}\pi_l(v_{k-l+1}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\alpha_{k-l+1} \cdots \mathrm{D}\pi_l(v_r) \cdot \mathrm{d}\alpha_r)\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that by definition of π_l the vector $\mathrm{D}\pi_l(v_i)$ is the vector in $\mathbb{Z}^l$ consisting of the last l entries of v_i. By property (P2) any k vectors in $\mathcal{C}$ form a linearly independent set. Furthermore we chose A and $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^k$. This implies that the set

$$\mathcal{C}_l = \{\mathrm{D}\pi_l(v_{k-l+1}), \cdots, \mathrm{D}\pi_l(v_r)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^l$$

also has property (P2). In particular, any choice of l vectors in $\mathcal{C}_l$ forms a linearly independent set.

It follows from (4.2) that a point $(z_{k-l+1}, \cdots, z_r) \in S_{\gamma_{k-l+1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$ is a critical point of f_l if and only if z_i is a critical point of α_i for at most $n-1$ of the z_i, where $k-l+1 \le i \le r$.

Thus, the set of critical points C_{f_l} of f_l is the union $C_{f_l} = \bigcup_{i \in I_l} B_{l,i}$ of a finite number of $(l-1)$-dimensional submanifolds $B_{l,i} \subset S_{\gamma_{k-l+1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$ with the property that for every surface factor S_{γ_j} of $S_{\gamma_{k-l+1}} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$ the projection of $B_{l,i}$ onto S_{γ_j} is either surjective or has finite image. Linear independence of any l vectors in $\mathcal{C}_l$ implies that the restriction of f_l to any of the $B_{l,i}$ is locally injective. Hence, the intersection $C_{f_l} \cap H_{l,y}$ is finite for any fibre $H_{l,y} = h_l^{-1}(y)$, $y \in \{0\} \times E^{\times l}$.

In particular, the map f_l has isolated singularities. It follows immediately that the smooth generic fibre H_l of f_l has dimension $r - (k-l) - l = r - k$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.3. The proof follows from Theorem 2.4, Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5. Indeed, by Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5 combined with the fact that F_l is a direct product of closed hyperbolic surfaces, all assumptions in Theorem 2.4 are satisfied with $n = r-k$. Thus, the map h induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \pi_1\overline{H} \to \pi_1 S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdot \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_r} \xrightarrow{h_*} \pi_1 E^{\times k} \to 1$$

and isomorphisms $\pi_i\overline{H} \cong \pi_i(S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}) \cong 0$ for $2 \le i \le r-k-1$.

Since $\overline{H}$ is the smooth generic fibre of a holomorphic map, it is a compact complex submanifold and thus a compact projective submanifold of the projective manifold $S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$. In particular, it is a compact Kähler manifold and $\pi_1\overline{H}$ is a Kähler group. Furthermore, $\overline{H}$ can be endowed with the structure of a finite CW-complex.

It follows that we can construct a classifying space $K(\pi_1\overline{H}, 1)$ from the finite CW-complex $\overline{H}$ by attaching cells of dimension at least $r-k+1$. Hence, there is a $K(\pi_1\overline{H}, 1)$ with finitely many cells in dimension less than or equal to $r-k$. Thus, the group $\pi_1\overline{H}$ is of type $\mathcal{F}_{r-k}$. Since all α_i are finite-sheeted branched covers, the image of the induced map $v_i \cdot \alpha_{i*}$ in $\pi_1 E^{\times k} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ is nontrivial for $1 \le i \le r$. By [16, Theorem 3.5] the group $\pi_1\overline{H}$ is not of type $\mathcal{F}_r$. □

5. Our examples are not direct products

While there is no obvious isomorphism between our groups and the groups constructed in [16] there could be a non-canonical isomorphism; alternatively our groups could be the direct

product of two of the groups constructed in [16]. We will prove in this section that this is not the case. Thus our examples do indeed require the higher-dimensional approach pursued in this work.

We will use the structure theory for subgroups of direct products of surface groups. For further background and more detailed explanations we refer the reader to [16, Section 6]. The central result needed is

Theorem 5.1. *Let k, N be a positive integers and let S_{g_i} be closed Riemann surfaces of genus $g_i \geq 2$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, together with non-trivial homomorphisms $\phi_i : \pi_1 S_{g_i} \to \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that the map*

$$\Phi = (\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_k) : \pi_1 S_{g_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{g_k} \to \mathbb{Z}^N$$

is surjective. Assume that there are integers $l, m, L, M \geq 0$ and closed Riemann surfaces R_{h_i} of genus $h_i \geq 2$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ together with homomorphisms

$$\Psi_a = (\psi_{a,1}, \cdots, \psi_{a,m}) : \pi_1 R_{h_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 R_{h_m} \to \mathbb{Z}^M$$

and

$$\Psi_b = (\psi_{b,1}, \cdots, \psi_{b,l}) : \pi_1 R_{h_{m+1}} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 R_{h_l} \to \mathbb{Z}^L$$

such that there is an isomorphism $\eta : \ker\Phi \stackrel{\cong}{\to} \ker\Psi_a \times \ker\Psi_b$.

Then $k = l$ and η is induced by an ambient isomorphism

$$\nu : \pi_1 S_{g_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{g_k} \to \pi_1 R_{h_1} \times \cdots \times R_{h_l}$$

which (after permutation of the factors $\pi_1 S_{g_i}$) restricts to isomorphisms $\nu_i : \pi_1 S_{g_i} \cong \pi_1 R_{h_i}$.

Theorem 5.1 is a special case of [6, Theorem C]. As a direct consequence of Theorem 5.1 we obtain

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that Φ, Ψ_a and Ψ_b are as in Theorem 5.1 and surjective, and that there is an isomorphism $\ker\Phi \cong \ker\Psi_a \times \ker\Psi_b$ which is induced by an ambient isomorphism ν mapping $\pi_1 S_{g_i}$ isomorphically onto $\pi_1 R_{h_i}$. Then there are $D \in GL(N, \mathbb{Z})$ and $P_1, \cdots, P_l \in Sp^{\pm}(2g_i, \mathbb{Z})$ such that we have the following identity of induced maps on abelianizations:*

$$\Psi_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} (\Psi_a)_{ab} & 0 \\ 0 & (\Psi_b)_{ab} \end{pmatrix} = D \circ \Phi_{ab} \circ (P_1 P_2 \cdots P_l)$$

Proof. The isomorphism ν induces an isomorphism of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker\Phi & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 S_{g_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{g_k} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbb{Z}^N & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\nu}} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker\Psi & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 R_{h_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{h_k} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathbb{Z}^{L+M} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

In particular, it follows that $g_i = h_i$ and $N = L + M$. Since we are only interested in the fundamental group we may therefore assume that $R_{g_i} = S_{g_i}$ The restrictions $\nu_i : \pi_1 S_{g_i} \to \pi_1 S_{g_i}$ induce isomorphisms $P_i = (\nu_i)_{ab}^{-1} : \mathbb{Z}^{2g_i} \cong H_1(S_{g_i}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}^{2g_i} \cong H_1(S_{g_i}, \mathbb{Z})$ which preserve the standard symplectic form on $H_1(S_{g_i}, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore $P_i \in Sp^{\pm}(2g_i, \mathbb{Z})$ is a symplectic (possibly orientation reversing) automorphism of $H_1(S_{g_i}, \mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $D = \overline{\nu} \in Gl(N, \mathbb{Z})$ the induced isomorphism $\overline{\nu} : \mathbb{Z}^N \to \mathbb{Z}^{M+L}$ on quotients.

This yields the following commutative diagram on abelianizations

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\pi_1 S_{g_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{g_k})_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}^{2g_k} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{ab}} & \mathbb{Z}^N \\ \uparrow (P_1 P_2 \cdots P_k) & & \downarrow \overline{\nu} \\ (\pi_1 S_{g_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{g_k})_{ab} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}^{2g_k} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{ab}} & \mathbb{Z}^{M+L} \end{array} .$$

The result follows immediately. □

Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 show that the examples constructed in Section 4 are not isomorphic to any of the examples constructed in [11, 16]. Lemma 5.2 reduces the problem of whether our examples are isomorphic to a direct product of any of the previously constructed examples to a question in Linear Algebra

Theorem 5.3. *The groups constructed in Theorem 4.3 are not isomorphic to the direct product of any two non-trivial groups*

Proof. We use the same notation as in Theorem 4.3. By considering centralisers we see that any direct product decomposition $\pi_1\overline{H} = G_1 \times G_2$ must respect the decomposition into a direct product of surface groups in the sense that, after reordering factors, $G_1 = \pi_1\overline{H} \cap (\pi_1 S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_m})$ and $G_2 = \pi_1\overline{H} \cap (\pi_1 S_{\gamma_{m+1}} \times \cdots \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_r})$ for some $1 \leq m \leq r-1$.

Hence, it suffices to show that there is no decomposition of $\pi_1\overline{H}$ of the form of the decomposition in Theorem 5.1 and in particular Lemma 5.2 applies. Introduce the notation $C_i = (\alpha_i)_{ab} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2\times g_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, for the induced maps on abelianizations. Since the α_i are finite-sheeted branched coverings of E it follows that C_i has rank 2 for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Introduce the notation $X = S_{\gamma_1} \times \cdots \times S_{\gamma_r}$ and let $\Phi = h_* : \pi_1 X \to \pi_1 E^{\times k} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ be the induced map on fundamental groups. Moreover, assume that $(v_1 \mid \cdots \mid v_k) = I_k$ is the $k \times k$ identity matrix. Then

$$\Phi_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & v_{k+1,1}C_{k+1} & \cdots & v_{r,1}C_r \\ 0 & C_2 & \ddots & \vdots & v_{k+1,2}C_{k+1} & \cdots & v_{r,2}C_r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & C_k & v_{k+1,2}C_{k+1} & \cdots & v_{r,k}C_r \end{pmatrix}$$

By slight abuse of notation, we will now denote by v_i the matrix $v_i = \begin{pmatrix} v_{i,1} \cdot I_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_{i,k} \cdot I_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2k\times 2}$. By Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 it suffices to prove that there is no $1 \leq l \leq 2k-1$, $B \in Gl(2k, \mathbb{Z})$ and $P_i \in Sp(2g_i, \mathbb{Z})$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have $B \cdot v_i \cdot C_i \cdot P_i = \begin{pmatrix} R_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $R_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{l\times 2g_i}$ or $B \cdot v_i \cdot C_i \cdot P_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Q_i \end{pmatrix}$ for some $Q_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{(2k-l)\times 2g_i}$. Assume for a contradiction that there are $l, B, P_1, \cdots, P_r$ with this property.

Let $B_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{1\times 2}$ such that $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1,1} & \cdots & B_{2k,k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{2k,1} & \cdots & B_{2k,k} \end{pmatrix} \in Gl(2k,\mathbb{Z})$. Then for $1 \le i \le k$ we have

$$B \cdot v_i \cdot C_i \cdot P_i = \begin{pmatrix} B_{i,1} \cdot C_i \cdot P_i \\ \vdots \\ B_{i,2k} \cdot C_i \cdot P_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since C_i has rank two and P_i is invertible it follows that $C_i \cdot P_i \neq 0$ for any i. Our assumption on $l, B, P_1, \cdots, P_r$ then implies that either $B_{i,1} = \cdots = B_{i,l} = 0$ or $B_{i,l+1} = \cdots = B_{i,2k} = 0$. Hence, after composing B with a permutation matrix, we may thus assume that $B = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$ with $D \in Gl(l,\mathbb{Z})$ and $E \in Gl(2k-l,\mathbb{Z})$.

By assumption any choice of k vectors in $\{v_1, \cdots, v_r\}$ is linearly independent and $\{v_1, \cdots, v_k\}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{Z}^k$. Thus, it follows that for $i > k$ and $1 \le j \le k$ we have $v_{i,j} \neq 0$. In particular, $D \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_{i,1} \cdot I_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_{i,l} \cdot I_2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ and $E \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_{i,l+1} \cdot I_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_{i,r} \cdot I_2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$. This contradicts the assumption that $B \cdot v_i \cdot C_i \cdot P_i = \begin{pmatrix} R_i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $B \cdot v_i \cdot C_i \cdot P_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Q_i \end{pmatrix}$ for $1 \le i \le r$. □

Theorem 1.2 is now a direct consequence

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The result follows immediately by combining Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.3. □

6. Potential generalisations

We finish with a brief discussion of some of the open questions arising from this work.

Potential generalisations of our examples: It seems reasonable to believe that the class of examples constructed here allows for further generalisations. In particular, we believe that one should be able to weaken the condition (P2) on the set $\{v_1, \cdots, v_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^k$ used in the construction of the map h in Section 4, as well as the condition that the branched covers $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$ are purely branched. Indeed there is no obvious reason why these conditions should be minimal in any sense; they are required for purely technical reasons in the proof. It would be desirable to provide a unified approach wherein kernels that are direct products of smaller Kähler groups would also arise. One might be able to obtain such an approach by proving a suitable version of Conjecture 2.1.

In contrast, the condition $r \ge 3k$ in Theorem 1.2 is minimal, as the following example shows: For $0 \le i \le r-1$ we choose $v_{3i+1} = v_{3i+2} = v_{3i+3} = e_i$ to be the i-th vector of the standard basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_k\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^k$ and $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{3k}$ to be any choice of non-trivial finite-sheeted branched holomorphic coverings of E which are surjective on fundamental groups. Then it follows from [16, Theorem 1.1] that the kernel of the associated map h is Kähler and fits into a short exact sequence induced by h as in Theorem 1.2. However, removing any coordinate factor from h will break the property that h induces a short exact sequence with kernel the smooth generic fibre of h. This is because the smooth generic fibre of any holomorphic map $S_{\gamma_1} \times S_{\gamma_2} \to E$

is a closed Riemann surface while by [16, Theorem 3.5] the kernel of the map induced by $\alpha_i + \alpha_j : \pi_1 S_{\gamma_{j_1}} \times \pi_1 S_{\gamma_{j_2}} \to \pi_1 E$ for $3i+1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq 3i+3$ is not finitely presented.

The finiteness properties of our examples: We are currently not aware if the examples in Theorem 4.3 are of type $\mathcal{F}_{r-j}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. It would be interesting to understand the precise finiteness properties of $\mathcal{F}_{r-j}$: do they vary for different choices of the v_i? One suspects not if the v_i are in general position.

Delzant-Gromov's question in coabelian case: Following this work there are two main questions regarding coabelian Kähler subgroups of direct products of surface groups. The first one is the question if there are any Kähler groups which arise as kernel of a homomorphism from a direct product of surface groups onto a free abelian group of odd rank. The second one is if there are any examples of non-Kähler subgroups of direct products of surface groups arising as kernel of a homomorphism onto $\mathbb{Z}^k$ for any k. We will give a positive answer to the second question in [15] where we prove that most kernels of a homomorphism from a direct product of surface groups onto a free abelian group of odd rank are not Kähler (we combine this with a new factorisation result to obtain non-Kähler examples which are kernels of a homomorphism from a direct product of surface groups onto a free abelian group of even rank). This also provides evidence towards a negative answer to the first question, and we are currently pursuing this.

References

[1] J. Amorós, M. Burger, K. Corlette, D. Kotschick, and D. Toledo, *Fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds*, 2, vol. 44, AMS Math. Surveys and Monographs, 1996.

[2] I. Biswas, M. Mj, and D. Pancholi, *Homotopical Height*, Int. J. Math. Vol. **25** (2014), no. 13.

[3] I. Biswas, M. Mj, and H. Seshardi, *3-manifold groups, Kähler groups and complex surfaces.*, Commun. Cont. Math. **14** (2012), no. 6, 1250038, 24 pp.

[4] M. Bridson, J. Howie, C.F. Miller III, and H. Short, *The subgroups of direct products of surface groups*, Geometriae Dedicata **92** (2002), 95–103.

[5] M. Bridson, J. Howie, C.F. Miller III, and H. Short, *Subgroups of direct products of limit groups*, Ann. of Math. **170** (2009), no. 2, 1447–1467.

[6] M. Bridson, J. Howie, C.F. Miller III, and H. Short, *On the finite presentation of subdirect products and the nature of residually free groups*, American Journal of Math. **135** (2013), no. 4, 891–933.

[7] M. Bridson and C. Llosa Isenrich, *Kodaira fibrations, Kähler groups, and finiteness properties*, arXiv:1611.09382 (2016).

[8] M. Burger, *Fundamental Groups of Kähler Manifolds and Geometric Group Theory*, Seminaire BOURBAKI, 62eme annee (2009-2010), no. 1022.

[9] T. Delzant and M. Gromov, *Cuts in Kähler groups, Infinite groups geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects*, Progr. Math., vol. 248, pp. 31–55, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

[10] T. Delzant and P. Py, *Cubulable Kähler groups*, arXiv:1609.08474 (2016).

[11] A. Dimca, S. Papadima, and A. Suciu, *Non-finiteness properties of fundamental groups of smooth projective varieties*, Journal reine angew. Math. **629** (2009), 89–105.

[12] A. Dimca and A. Suciu, *Which 3-manifold groups are Kähler groups?*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **11** (2009), no. 3, 521–528.

[13] M. Gromov, *Sur le groupe fondamental d'une variété kählérienne*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **308** (1989), no. 3, 67–70.

[14] D. Kotschick, *Three-manifolds and Kähler groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **62** (2012), 1081–1090.

[15] C. Llosa Isenrich, *Kähler groups, residually free groups, and subgroups of direct products of surface groups*, In preparation.

[16] C. Llosa Isenrich, *Branched covers of elliptic curves and Kähler groups with exotic finiteness properties*, arXiv:1606.03142 (2016).

[17] E.J.N. Looijenga, *Isolated points and complete intersections*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser., vol. 77, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

Mathematical Institute, Andrew Wiles Building, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK
E-mail address: llosaisenric@maths.ox.ac.uk